

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	1			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,195	03/11/2004	Stephen Gold	1509-219A	4728
22879	7590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			ST CYR, DANIEL	
P O BOX 2724	400, 3404 E. HARMON	Y ROAD		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLI	NS, CO 80527-2400		2876	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			型
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-</del>
	10/797,195	GOLD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel St.Cyr	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	<u> March 2005</u> .		
· <u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 and 14-38 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,14-19 and 31-34 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 20-30 and 35-38 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyaretion is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			ŀ
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority document 2. △ Certified copies of the priority document 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No. <u>09/937,021</u> . received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	-
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/797,195

Art Unit: 2876

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Priority

- 1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's amendment filed 3/15/05. Claim Rejections 35

  USC § 103
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 14-19, and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al, US, 5,455,409, cited by the applicant, in view of Ruppert et al, US Patent No. 5,640,002.

Smith et al disclose an apparatus and method for monitoring a plurality of coded articles and for identifying the location of selected articles comprising: a computer 52 having a communication converter 62 for receiving data signals from data storage devices 10 within the carriers 12 (see col. 17, line 4), a memory means capable for storing said data signals received from the converter 62 (see col. 14, line 25), the computer inherently includes a controller/processor for controlling the functions of the apparatus (see figures 6, 8A-D; col. 10, line 3+; col. 14, line 18+).

Smith et al disclose identification code is printed on labels attached to the tape cartridges (see col. 8, line 24), but fail to disclose a printer attached to the hand-held reader device for printing the identification code.

Ruppert et al disclose a portable RF ID tag and bar code reader comprising: a body 302; a card slot 300; an antenna 304 coupled to an RF module; a display unit 308; a printer 310; a microcomputer 320; an RF/ID reader 314; contact smart card interface 514 and non-contact smart card interface 517; etc. (see figures 16-19).

In view of Ruppert et al's teachings, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Smith et al to include a portable hand-held reader having a printer therein for monitoring, programming, and printing labels for the cartridges. Such modification would make the system more effective wherein the mobile unit could be held/placed at various positions to receive optimal signals. Further, such modified system would be very compact, which would facilitate operators interaction with the unit to obtain greater transactions output. Therefore, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Smith et al.

Re claims 15-18 and 31-34 since structural limitations are as recited, the method step is obtained, and therefore, obvious.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 20-30 and 35-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and upon filling of a terminal disclaimer.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
Although the prior art of record teaches a system that uses portable reader device for printing labels to be placed onto cartridges, the prior art of record fails to disclose or fairly suggests all the details and functions of the reader, including a processor for selectively causing the memory to couple at least one of the received and stored data signals to the printer, said printer being adapted to be activated so said label includes in user readable format information, etc. These limitations in conjunction with other limitations in the claim were shown by the prior art of record.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/15/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. (see examiner remarks).

### **REMARKS:**

In response to the applicant's argument that there is no treatment for claim 15, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 15 is a method, the examiner's position is that: since the structural limitations are as cited, the method step is obtained. The structure of the prior art is capable of performing the method steps of claim 15 and other method claims.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In response to the applicant's argument regarding combining the references (Smith and Ruppert), the examiner's position is to modify the teachings of Smith in view of Ruppert, not

Application/Control Number: 10/797,195

Art Unit: 2876

combining the references. The applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Refer to the rejection above.

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 571-272-2407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,195

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel St.Cyr Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS May 27, 2005

ı

Page 6